Filed 09/11/2007

Page 1 of 8

Ĭ	USDC SDNY	
	DOCUMENT ELECTRONICALLY	y FILED
	DOC #: DATE FILED: 9 -	11-02

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

NATURAL RESOURCES DEFENSE COUNCIL,

Plaintiff,

-against-

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY; and STEPHEN L. JOHNSON, Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency,

Defendants.

ECF CASE

No. 07 Civ. 1751 (HBP)

STIPULATION AND ORDER TRANSFERRING CASE TO THE SUSPENSE DOCKET

WHEREAS, on February 28, 2007, Plaintiff Natural Resources Defense Council ("NRDC") filed this lawsuit alleging that the Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") had failed to take certain regulatory actions;

WHEREAS, EPA plans to take the following actions pursuant to the following schedule (the "Schedule"):

- (a) On or before October 1, 2007, sign the cumulative risk assessment for the N-methyl carbamates;
- (b) On or before October 15, 2007, sign a preliminary determination, see 40 C.F.R. § 154.31, to close the Special Review of dichlorvos ("DDVP");
- (c) On or before November 16, 2007, respond to the NRDC petition dated

 June 2, 2006 concerning DDVP (the "DDVP Petition"), to the extent the

 petition seeks to cancel all registrations for DDVP;
- (d) On or before November 16, 2007, sign an order to be published in the Federal Register addressing the DDVP Petition's demand for the revocation of all tolerances for DDVP,

- (e) On or before December 15, 2007, sign a final determination, see 40 C.F.R. § 154.33, with respect to the DDVP Special Review, if NRDC submits only the comments contained in the DDVP petition as comments to the preliminary determination to close the Special Review of DDVP, and EPA receives no other comments to the preliminary determination to close the Special Review of DDVP;
- (f) On or before December 21, 2007, meet and confer with NRDC in an effort to reach a consensual resolution with regard to the final determination for the DDVP Special Review, if NRDC submits comments to the preliminary determination to close the Special Review of DDVP different from or in addition to the comments contained in the DDVP Petition, or EPA receives comments to the preliminary determination to close the Special Review of DDVP from non-parties;
- (g) On or before June 30, 2008, make a determination as to the eligibility for re-registration of the active ingredient carbaryl;
- (h) On or before September 30, 2008, respond to the NRDC petition dated

 January 10, 2005 concerning carbaryl (the "Carbaryl Petition"), to the

 extent the petition seeks to cancel all registrations for carbaryl;
- (i) On or before September 30, 2008, sign an order to be published in the Federal Register addressing the Carbaryl Petition's demand for the revocation of all tolerances for carbaryl;

(j) On or before October 1, 2008, sign a final determination with respect to the DDVP Special Review, unless a final determination is signed at an earlier date pursuant to paragraphs (e) and (f) of this Schedule.

WHEREAS, the parties wish to suspend this action while EPA takes the actions contemplated in the Schedule;

WHEREAS, the parties agree that if EPA fails to take the actions contemplated in the Schedule, NRDC's sole remedy shall be to remove this case from the suspense docket;

WHEREAS, by entering into this Stipulation and Order, no party waives any claim or defense relating to this litigation;

WHEREAS, this Stipulation and Order does not constitute any admission or evidence of any fact, wrongdoing, misconduct, or liability on the part of any party;

WHEREAS, the parties agree that no provision of this Stipulation and Order shall be interpreted to constitute a commitment or requirement that EPA obligate or pay funds in contravention of the Anti-Deficiency Act, 31 U.S.C. § 1341, or take actions in contravention of the Administrative Procedure Act, 5 U.S.C. §§ 551-59, 709-06, the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act, 7 U.S.C. §§ 136—136y, the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetics Act, 21 U.S.C. § 346a, or any other law or regulation, either substantive or procedural;

WHEREAS, the parties agree that the defendants named in the Complaint were sued in their official capacities, and any obligations or duties arising under this Stipulation and Order are to be performed by EPA;

WHEREAS, except as expressly provided herein, nothing in this Stipulation and Order shall be construed to limit or modify the discretion accorded EPA by law;

WHEREAS, this Stipulation and Order may be modified or amended only with the written consent of the parties;

WHEREAS, this Stipulation and Order contains the entire agreement between the parties, and no statements, representations, promises, agreements or negotiations, oral or otherwise, between the parties or their counsel that are not included herein shall be of any force or effect;

WHEREAS, this Stipulation and Order shall become effective upon entry of the Court; and

WHEREAS, this Stipulation and Order may be executed by facsimile transmission, and a photocopy may be used for all purposes;

NOW, THEREFORE, it is agreed between the parties and ORDERED as follows:

- 1. This case is transferred to the suspense docket pursuant to Rule 20 of the Rules for the Division of Business Among District Judges for the Southern District of New York.
- 2. If EPA fails to take the actions contemplated in the Schedule, NRDC's sole remedy is to reactivate the case upon written notice to the Honorable Henry B. Pitman, United States Magistrate Judge, Southern District of New York, with a copy of such notice to be served upon EPA and the U.S. Attorney's Office at the addresses provided in paragraph 5 below. Contempt of court is not available as a remedy. NRDC need not satisfy the "meet and confer" process described under paragraph 4 below before reinstating the litigation pursuant to this paragraph.
- 3. If the parties fail to agree on a date by which EPA will plan to respond to comments and sign a final determination with respect to the DDVP Special Review, as

Page 5 of 8

contemplated by paragraph (e) of the Schedule, NRDC may reactivate the case pursuant to paragraph 2 upon written notice to the Honorable Henry B. Pitman, United States Magistrate Judge, Southern District of New York, with a copy of such notice to be served upon EPA and the U.S. Attorney's Office at the addresses provided in paragraph 5 below.

- 4. If the parties disagree about the interpretation or performance of any aspect of this Stipulation and Order, the dissatisfied party shall provide the other party with written notice of the dispute and a request for negotiations. The parties shall meet and confer in an effort to resolve the dispute within 14 days of the written notice, or at a time mutually agreed upon. If the parties are unable to resolve the dispute within 14 days of such meeting, NRIXC may reactivate the case pursuant to paragraph 2 upon written notice to the Honorable Heary B Pitman, United States Magistrate Judge, Southern District of New York, with a copy of such notice to be served upon EPA and the U.S. Attorney's Office at the addresses provided in paragraph 5 below.
- 5. Any notice required or made with respect to this Stipulation and Order shall be in writing and shall be effective upon receipt. For any matter relating to this Stipulation and Order, notice shall be sent to:

For the Plaintiff:

Mae C Wu, Esq.
Natural Resources Defense Council
1200 New York Ave., N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20005

For the Defendants:

Andrew J. Simons, Esq.
Office of General Counsel
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1200 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W., MC - 2333A
Washington, DC 20460

Peter M. Skinner
Assistant United States Attorney
United States Attorney's Office for the
Southern District of New York
86 Chambers Street, 5th Floor
New York, NY 10007

Upon written notice to the other parties, any party may designate a successor contact person for any matter related to this Stipulation and Order.

6. If this case is not reactivated by December 1, 2008, it will be dismissed with prejudice and without costs or attorneys fees to any party.

Dated:

Washington, D.C.
August _____, 2007

Coolember 2007

NATURAL RESOURCES DEFENSE COUNCIL

By: MAE C WU, ESQ.

AARON COLANGELO, ESQ. 1200 New York Ave., NW Washington, D.C. 20005 Telephone: (202) 289-2409

Dated:

New York, New York August , 2007

MICHAEL J. GARCIA
United States Attorney for the
Southern District of New York,
Attorney for Defendants United States
Environmental Protection Agency and
Stephen L. Johnson, Administrator of the
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

By: PETER M. SKINNER
Assistant United States Attorney
86 Chambers Street, 5th Floor
New York, New York 10007
Telephone: (212) 637-2601

Peter M. Skinner Assistant United States Attorney United States Attorney's Office for the Southern District of New York 86 Chambers Street, 5th Floor New York, NY 10007

Upon written notice to the other parties, any party may designate a successor contact person for any matter related to this Stipulation and Order.

If this case is not reactivated by December 1, 2008, it will be dismissed with 6. prejudice and without costs or attorneys fees to any party.

Dated:

Washington, D.C. August , 2007

NATURAL RESOURCES DEFENSE COUNCIL

Filed 09/11/2007

By: MAE C WU, ESQ.

AARON COLANGELO, ESQ. 1200 New York Ave., NW Washington, D.C. 20005 Telephone: (202) 289-2409

Dated:

New York, New York September 4, 2007

> MICHAEL J. GARCIA United States Attorney for the Southern District of New York, Attorney for Defendants United States Environmental Protection Agency and Stephen L. Johnson, Administrator of the & Environmental Protection Agency

By: PETER M. SKINNER Assistant United States Attorney 86 Chambers Street, 5th Floor New York, New York 10007 Telephone: (212) 637-2601

SO ORDERED:

HON, HENRY & PITMAN UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

9-7-07